

## A comparative study of morphological and Immunohistochemical expression of P40 and P63 immunomarkers in squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma lung

| Article History                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Received: 12.01.2024<br>Revision: 17.01.2024<br>Accepted: 05.02.2024<br>Published: 28.02.2024<br>Plagiarism check - Plagscan<br>DOI: 10.47310/iarjmsr. 2024.V05i01.03                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Author Details                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Dr. Shalini R <sup>1</sup> , Dr. K. Ramakrishna Reddy <sup>2</sup> .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Authors Affiliations                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| <sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Mallareddy Institute of Medical College.<br><sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Pathology, Mallareddy Medical college for women, Jeedimetla, Hyderabad                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Corresponding Author*                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Dr. K. Ramakrishna Reddy                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| How to Cite the Article:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Shalini R, Ramakrishna KR. A comparative study of morphological and Immunohistochemical expression of P40 and P63 immunomarkers in squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma lung. <i>IAR J. Med &amp; Surg Res.</i> 2024;5(1):11-15.                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <b>Copyright @ 2022:</b> This is an open-access article does not charge readers or their institutions for access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution license ( <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0</a> ) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. |

**Abstract: Background:** Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer related death worldwide and accounts for 28% of all cancer mortality and around 1.8 million new cases were diagnosed in 2012. The morphological distinction between pulmonary adenocarcinoma (ADC) and squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) is sometimes difficult, mainly in cases of poorly differentiated tumors or when degenerative changes, necrosis and crushing may obscure the cell characteristics. p63 is a homologue of the p53 tumour suppressor gene that is responsible for proliferation and differentiation of epithelial progenitor cells. p40 is consistently the predominant isoform expressed in squamous cell carcinoma; thus, it offers improved specificity for diagnosing squamous cell carcinoma. **Material and Methods:** This is a prospective and observational study conducted in the Department of Pathology, Tertiary care Teaching Hospital over a period of 1 year. Primary lung carcinoma cases included with unequivocal morphological diagnosis irrespective of age, gender and nature of biopsy material (endoscopic biopsy/ needle core biopsy / resected specimen). Cases diagnosed as Small cell carcinoma of lung, as metastatic lung cancers, poorly differentiated NSCLC-NOS and with inadequate material for IHC study were excluded from present study. **Results:** In the present study, a total of 150 patients were included out of which 112 (74.6%) were males and 38 (25.4%) were females. In our study, most of the patients were >61 years i.e., 63 out of 150 (42%), followed by 51-60 years, i.e., 40 out of 150 (26.7%). All 8 cases of well differentiated Adenocarcinoma were positive for P40 and 5 cases showed P63 expression. Out of 13 cases of moderately differentiated Adenocarcinoma, 3 cases were positive for P40 and 10 cases were positive for p63 marker. Out of 30 cases of well differentiated Squamous cell carcinoma 15 cases were P40 positive and 15 cases were P63 positive. All 53 cases of moderately differentiated Squamous cell carcinoma showed positive P40 and P63 expression.

**Keywords:** Morphological and Immunohistochemical, P40 and P63, carcinoma nad Adenocarcinoma

### INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer related death worldwide and accounts for 28% of all cancer mortality and around 1.8 million new cases were diagnosed in 2012. [1] In India, lung cancer constitutes 6.9 percent of all new cancer cases and 9.3 percent of all cancer related deaths. [2] [5] Identifying the correct type of lung cancer has become increasingly important due to the recent advances in “targeted” therapies. The

morphological distinction between pulmonary adenocarcinoma (ADC) and squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) is sometimes difficult, mainly in cases of poorly differentiated tumors or when degenerative changes, necrosis and crushing may obscure the cell characteristics. [3]

Poorly differentiated non-small-cell lung carcinomas (PD-NSCLC) are tumors characterized by predominantly solid growth, formed by cells with large, eosinophilic cytoplasm, with a misleading tendency to keratinization or a pseudosquamoid morphology. [4] Thus, histochemical detection of mucin and immunohistochemistry are often essential tests in differential diagnosis of NSCLCs, expanding the routine morphological examination. [5]

p63 is a homologue of the p53 tumour suppressor gene that is responsible for proliferation and differentiation of epithelial progenitor cells. The p53 gene contains two promoters that produce two isoforms; one isoform contains the N-terminal transactivation domain (TAp63) and the other lacks this domain ( $\Delta$ Np63). [6]

p63 is normally expressed in the nuclei of basal and progenitor cells of stratified epithelia such as skin, esophagus, tonsil, urothelium, ectocervix, and vagina, and in the basal cells of glandular structures of the thymus, prostate, breast, and bronchi [7]. Both TAp63 and  $\Delta$ Np63 show overlapping distribution in some epithelial tissue. However, TAp63 is more expressed in differentiated cells while  $\Delta$ Np63 is seen in the stem-like cell populations [8].

Antibody p40, which identifies  $\Delta$ Np63, has been available for several years but its use for distinction of lung squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma was only recently studied. p40 is consistently the predominant isoform expressed in squamous cell carcinoma; thus, it offers improved specificity for diagnosing squamous cell carcinoma. Studies by Bishop et al. and Nonaka [9] showed that p40 has 100% sensitivity and specificity in lung squamous cell carcinoma. Another study by Tacha et al. reported an 85% sensitivity and 98% specificity. [10]

## MATERIAL AND METHOD

This is a prospective and observational study conducted in the Department of Pathology, Tertiary care Teaching Hospital over a period of 1 year.

### Inclusion Criteria:

Primary lung carcinoma cases included with unequivocal morphological diagnosis irrespective of age, gender and nature of biopsy material (endoscopic biopsy/ needle core biopsy / resected specimen)..

### Exclusion Criteria:

1. Cases diagnosed as Small cell carcinoma of lung, as metastatic lung cancers, poorly differentiated NSCLC-NOS and with inadequate material for IHC study were excluded from present study.
2. Cases were diagnosed by Hematoxylin (Meyer's) and Eosin stain. The grading were rendered on the basis of broder's grading system and classified into well and moderately differentiated SqCC and ADC.
3. IHC Analysis were done by using antigen retrieval method: BIO GENEX-EZRetriever system V.2 (temperature controlled microwaving). Percentage of SqCC and ADC showing positivity for P40 and P63 immunomarker and Sensitivity and specificity was calculated. Immunohistochemistry with p40 antibody was performed. Antigen retrieval was performed with CC1 buffer (Cell Conditioning 1; citrate buffer pH 6.0, Ventana Medical Systems). Immunohistochemistry for p63 (TP63; 4A4, Dako, 1:700 dilution) was performed. P63 (4A4) recognizes an epitope shared by TAp63 and  $\Delta$ Np63 isoforms, whereas p40 recognizes an epitope which is

unique to  $\Delta$ Np63. For all markers, both extent (% cells) and intensity (1+, 2+, and 3+) of immunoreactivity were recorded. Only nuclear immunoreactivity was accepted.

4. Cases of poorly differentiated non-small cell (large cell) carcinomas where the tumor predominantly showed solid growth with no apparent squamous or glandular differentiation, and the diagnosis of poorly differentiated (large cell) carcinoma was made and final diagnosis was suggested purely on the basis of immunohistochemistry findings: that is, TTF-1, p63 and p40 expression.

## RESULTS

In the present study, a total of 150 patients were included out of which 112 (74.6%) were males and 38 (25.4%) were females (table-1).

**Table 1: Distribution of Gender**

| Gender | No. of patients | Percentage |
|--------|-----------------|------------|
| Male   | 112             | 74.6       |
| Female | 38              | 25.4       |
| Total  | 150             | 100        |

**Table 2: Distribution of different age groups of patients**

| Age         | No. of patients | Percentage |
|-------------|-----------------|------------|
| 30-40 years | 15              | 10         |
| 41-50 years | 32              | 21.3       |
| 51-60 years | 40              | 26.7       |
| >61 years   | 63              | 42         |
| Total       | 150             | 100        |

In our study, most of the patients were >61 years i.e., 63 out of 150 (42%), followed by 51-60 years, i.e., 40 out of 150 (26.7%).

**Table 3: Morphological Grading of lung carcinomas (Broder's Grading) (n=150) (Grading of lung carcinoma)**

| Morphological Diagnosis | Grade/differentiation     | No. | Percentage |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----|------------|
| Adenocarcinoma          | Well differentiated       | 30  | 20         |
|                         | Moderately differentiated | 45  | 30         |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | Well differentiated       | 35  | 23.3       |
|                         | Moderately differentiated | 40  | 26.7       |
| Total                   |                           | 150 | 100        |

**Table 4: Correlation of P63 Immunomarker expression with morphologic type of lung carcinoma (n=150).**

| Final Diagnosis         |                           | P63 Positive N (%) | P63 Negative N (%) | Total N |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Adenocarcinoma          | Well differentiated       | 5 (3.3)            | 25 (16.7)          | 30      |
|                         | Moderately differentiated | 10 (6.7)           | 35 (23.3)          | 45      |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | Well differentiated       | 17 (11.3)          | 18 (12)            | 35      |
|                         | Moderately differentiated | 15 (10)            | 25 (16.7)          | 40      |
| Total                   |                           | 47                 | 103                | 150     |

**Table 5: Distribution of Antibiotic Resistance Data of 59 E.coli isolate**

| Final Diagnosis         |                           | P63 Intensity 1+ | P63 Intensity 2+ | P63 Intensity 3+ | Total |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------|
| Adenocarcinoma          | Well differentiated       | 1                | 2                | 2                | 5     |
|                         | Moderately differentiated | 4                | 3                | 3                | 10    |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | Well differentiated       | 0                | 7                | 10               | 17    |
|                         | Moderately differentiated | 0                | 7                | 8                | 15    |
| Total                   |                           | 5                | 19               | 23               | 47    |

**Table 6: Correlation of P40 Immunomarker expression with morphologic type of lung carcinoma. (n=150) (P40 immunomarker expression)**

| Final Diagnosis |                           | P40 Positive N (%) | P40 Negative N (%) | Total N |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Adenocarcinoma  | Well differentiated       | 3 (2)              | 27 (18)            | 30      |
|                 | Moderately differentiated | 3 (2)              | 42 (28)            | 45      |

|                         |                           |         |           |     |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-----------|-----|
|                         | differentiated            |         |           |     |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | Well differentiated       | 15 (10) | 20 (13.3) | 35  |
|                         | Moderately differentiated | 36 (24) | 4 (2.7)   | 40  |
| Total                   |                           | 57      | 93        | 150 |

**Table 7: P40 intensity of staining in 60 positive cases (n=57)**

| Final Diagnosis         |                           | P40 Intensity 1+ | P40 Intensity 2+ | P40 Intensity 3+ | Total |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------|
| Adenocarcinoma          | Well differentiated       | 3                | 0                | 0                | 3     |
|                         | Moderately differentiated | 3                | 0                | 0                | 3     |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | Well differentiated       | 0                | 5                | 10               | 15    |
|                         | Moderately differentiated | 0                | 17               | 18               | 36    |
| Total                   |                           | 6                | 22               | 28               | 57    |

**Table 8: Concordance between morphological diagnosis and P40 and P63 IHC diagnosis (morphologic diagnosis and IHC diagnosis)**

| Final Diagnosis         |                           | P63 | P40 | Total |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----|-----|-------|
| Adenocarcinoma          | Well differentiated       | 5   | 3   | 8     |
|                         | Moderately differentiated | 10  | 3   | 13    |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | Well differentiated       | 15  | 15  | 30    |
|                         | Moderately differentiated | 17  | 36  | 53    |

All 8 cases of well differentiated Adenocarcinoma were positive for P40 and 5 cases showed P63 expression. Out of 13 cases of moderately differentiated Adenocarcinoma, 3 cases were positive for P40 and 10 cases were positive for p63 marker. Out of 30 cases of well differentiated Squamous cell carcinoma 15 cases were P40 positive and 15 cases were P63 positive. All 53 cases of moderately differentiated Squamous cell carcinoma showed positive P40 and P63 expression.

## DISCUSSION

Accurate histologic diagnosis and subtyping has major therapeutic implications. Current clinical practice guidelines recommend patients with lung adenocarcinoma or NSCLC favouring adenocarcinoma should undergo molecular testing. To our knowledge, our study is the first to present data on changes in categorization of resected pulmonary NSCC using the new WHO classification. In line with the IASLC/ATS/ERS proposal for small specimens, the fourth edition of the WHO classification introduces IHC markers of SCC and AC on resected lung tumors. [11]

Although the morphological findings are clear in most cases, IHC staining now defines many former LCC cases as AC or SCC and also helps to identify so-called pseudosquamous AC (accounting for approximately 1% of the AC cases) and what may correspondingly be called pseudo-adenocarcinomatous or “pseudoglandular” SCC. The changes in classification mainly reduced the LCC and ASqC groups; the classification of AC and SCC were only marginally affected. [12] Consequently, the modifications in the fourth WHO classification clearly help pathologists to better define previously difficult diagnostic groups without deforming well-established histological classification. [13]

However, a change in classification does not always lead to an altered therapeutic strategy. In only 12 of the cases (1.8%) in the present study (one, three, and three cases of AC, LC, and ASqC, respectively, now assigned to the SCC group and five cases of SCC now assigned to the AC group) the revised histological diagnosis would imply an altered clinical management in a potential later treatment situation. [14]

In our opinion, diagnostic morphological features may be more challenging to assess than is IHC expression in poorly differentiated cases and in cases with technical artefacts due to poor formaldehyde fixation. For example, identification of intercellular bridges in the absence of keratinization and differentiation of apoptotic cells from unicellular keratinization may be challenging. [15] Thus, differences in diagnoses may be related not only to changes in the WHO classification but also to the experience of the diagnosing pathologist, thus affecting the results of the present study as well. [16] Although different technical factors may affect the results of IHC staining, we believe that the increased emphasis on IHC staining in the fourth edition of the WHO classification results in a more reproducible histological classification of resected pulmonary NSCCs (although not examined in the present study). [17]

In the present investigation, there was a substantial overlap between p63 and p40, the markers recommended for SCC diagnosis. Adding p63 yielded limited gain, and its low specificity for SCC makes it a less appealing marker. Correspondingly, the results of the recommended markers for a diagnosis of AC, TTF-1 and

napsin A, also overlapped substantially, although it is noteworthy that 13 of 79 solid predominant AC cases were positive for TTF-1 and negative for napsin A. [18] However, the results of staining with the TTF-1 clone SPT24 were also positive in approximately 5% of SCC cases, which may be a diagnostic problem if strictly following the guideline that a (poorly differentiated) NSCC be classified as AC if the result for an AC marker is positive regardless of any expression of SCC markers. [19]

## CONCLUSION

We conclude that strong and diffuse p40 expression is seen in majority of lung squamous cell carcinomas and absence of p40 expression in most of the lung adenocarcinomas. Expression of p63 is similar to that of p40 in lung squamous cell carcinoma, but there was variable p63 immunoreactivity in lung adenocarcinoma. In Moderately differentiated cases, a two-panel approach of p63 and p40 help to distinguish adenocarcinoma from squamous cell carcinoma. Thus, p40 is an excellent marker for distinguishing lung squamous cell carcinoma from adenocarcinoma and that its expression is equivalent to that of p63 in lung squamous cell carcinoma.

## REFERENCE

1. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *Int J Cancer* 2015; 136: E359-86.
2. Azizah AM, Nor Saleha IT, Noor Hashimah A, Asmah ZA, Mastulu W. Malaysian National Cancer Registry report 2007-2011. Malaysia cancer statistics, data and figure. Putrajaya: National Cancer Institute, Ministry of Health, 2016.
3. Travis WD, Brambilla E, Noguchi M, et al. Diagnosis of lung cancer in small biopsies and cytology: implications of the 2011 International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer/American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society classification. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2013; 137: 668-84.
4. Travis WD, Brambilla E, Burke AP, Marx A, Nicholson AG. WHO classification of tumours of the lung, pleura, thymus and heart. 4th ed. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2015.
5. Kadota K, Nitadori J, Rekhtman N, Jones DR, Adusumilli PS, Travis WD. Reevaluation and reclassification of resected lung carcinomas originally diagnosed as squamous cell carcinoma using immunohistochemical analysis. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2015; 39: 1170-80.

6. Pelosi G, Fabbri A, Bianchi F, et al. DeltaNp63 (p40) and thyroid transcription factor-1 immunoreactivity on small biopsies or cellblocks for typing non-small cell lung cancer: a novel two-hit, sparing-material approach. *J Thorac Oncol* 2012; 7: 281-90.
7. Rekhtman N, Ang DC, Sima CS, Travis WD, Moreira AL. Immunohistochemical algorithm for differentiation of lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma based on large series of whole tissue sections with validation in small specimens. *Mod Pathol* 2011; 24: 1348-59.
8. Nobre AR, Albergaria A, Schmitt F. p40: a p63 isoform useful for lung cancer diagnosis: a review of the physiological and pathological role of p63. *Acta Cytol* 2013; 57: 1-8. 9.
9. Bishop JA, Teruya-Feldstein J, Westra WH, Pelosi G, Travis WD, Rekhtman N. p40 (DeltaNp63) is superior to p63 for the diagnosis of pulmonary squamous cell carcinoma. *Mod Pathol* 2012; 25: 405- 15.
10. Nonaka D. A study of  $\Delta$ Np63 expression in lung non-small cell carcinomas. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2012; 36: 895-9.
11. Mukhopadhyay S, Katzenstein AL. Subclassification of non-small cell lung carcinomas lacking morphologic differentiation on biopsy specimens: Utility of an immunohistochemical panel containing TTF-1, napsin A, p63, and CK5/6. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2011; 35: 15-25.
12. Tacha D, Bremer R, Haas T, Qi W. An immunohistochemical analysis of a newly developed, mouse monoclonal p40 (BC28) antibody in lung, bladder, skin, breast, prostate, and head and neck cancers. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2014; 138: 1358-64.
13. Perez-Moreno P, Brambilla E, Thomas R, Soria JC. Squamous cell carcinoma of the lung: molecular subtypes and therapeutic opportunities. *Clin Cancer Res* 2012; 18: 2443-51.
14. Nicholson AG, Gonzalez D, Shah P, et al. Refining the diagnosis and EGFR status of non-small cell lung carcinoma in biopsy and cytologic material, using a panel of mucin staining, TTF-1, cytokeratin 5/6, and P63, and EGFR mutation analysis. *J Thorac Oncol* 2010; 5: 436-41.
15. Lindeman NI, Cagle PT, Beasley MB, et al. Molecular testing guideline for selection of lung cancer patients for EGFR and ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitors: guideline from the College of American Pathologists, International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer, and Association for Molecular Pathology. *J Thorac Oncol* 2013; 8: 823-59.
16. Rekhtman N, Paik PK, Arcila ME, et al. Clarifying the spectrum of driver oncogene mutations in biomarker-verified squamous carcinoma of lung: lack of EGFR/KRAS and presence of PIK3CA/AKT1 mutations. *Clin Cancer Res* 2012; 18: 1167-76.
17. Travis WD, Rekhtman N, Riley GJ, et al. Pathologic diagnosis of advanced lung cancer based on small biopsies and cytology: a paradigm shift. *J Thorac Oncol* 2010; 5: 411-4.
18. Rossi, G.; Pelosi, G.; Graziano, P.; Barbareschi, M.; Papotti, M.; Rossi, A. A reevaluation of the clinical significance of histological subtyping of non-small-cell lung carcinoma: Diagnostic algorithms in the era of personalized treatments. *Int. J. Surg. Pathol.* 2009, 17, 206–218. [
19. Sterlacci, W.; Savic, S.; Schmid, T.; Oberaigner, W.; Auberger, J.; Fiegl, M.; Tzankov, A. Tissue-Sparing Application of the Newly Proposed IASLC/ATS/ERS Classification of Adenocarcinoma of the Lung Shows Practical Diagnostic and Prognostic Impact. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.* 2012, 137, 946–956.